

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/089,026	07/02/2002	Pierre Siohan	F40.12-0005	9446	
27367 7550 0770A40008 WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A. SUITE 1400			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			PHUNKULH, BOB A		
900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3244		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2619	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/089,026 SIOHAN ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit BOB A. PHUNKULH 2619 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 April 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4.6.8.9 and 11-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 April 2008 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

3) information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S6/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 2619

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is in response to applicant's 04/28/2008

amendment(s)/response(s) in the application of SIOHAN et al. for "METHOD FOR

TRANSMITTING AN OFFSET MODULATED BIORTHOGONAL MULTICARRIER" filed
03/26/2002. The amendment/response to the claims have been entered. No claim
has been canceled. No claims have been added. Claims 1-9, 11-21 are now pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6, 12, 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over KOBER et al. (US 6,252,535), hereinafter KOBER.

Regarding claim 1, KOBER discloses a method for transmitting a biorthogonal frequency division multiplex/offset modulation (BFDM/OM) biorthogonal multicarrier signal (intended used, therefore no patentable weight given) characterized in that it implements a transmultiplexer structure providing:

a modulation step, by a bank of synthesis filters (60a ...60n, see figure 4), the bank of filter having M parallel branches, M > 2, each fed by source data $(x_0(n) ... x_{M-1}(N))$, see figure 4) and each comprising an expander of order M and filtering means (see col. 5 lines 35-49); and

Art Unit: 2619

a demodulation step, by a bank of analysis filters (44a...44n, see figure 4), the bank of synthesis filters having M parallel branches, each comprising a decimator of order M (64a..64n, see figure 4) and filtering means, and delivering representative data received from the source data (col. 3 lines 7-12).

the synthesis filters and analysis filters being derived from a predetermined prototype modulation function (the analysis and synthesis filters can be implemented in any number of ways depending upon the type of signal to be filtered, see col. 2 lines 53-67).

KOBER fails to explicitly disclose that each of both branches of analysis and synthesis filters comprises of a decimator of order M and the expender of order M. In another word, the number of parallel branches is double the order of the expander or the decimator.

In col. 5 lines 34-50, KOBER discloses that the downsamplers performing M-fold decimation, taking every Mth sample, and upsamplers performing sampling by filling zeros between each sample. Therefore, the subject matter "a decimator of order M" or "the expender of order M" is the sampling rate of the downsampler or the upsampler.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to adjust the sampling rate of the downsampler 64a...64n or upsamplers 68a...8n in order to customized to user's or system's needs.

Regarding claim 2, KOBER discloses in that the filtering means of the bank of synthesis filters and/or of the bank of analysis filters are grouped as a polyphase matrix,

Art Unit: 2619

respectively (the analysis filters and synthesis filters are represented in a special form known as the Polyphase representation; see col. 3 lines 7-12; and col. 5 line 62 to col. 6 line 6).

Regarding claim 3, KOBER discloses at least one of the polyphase matrices comprises a reverse Fourier transform with 2M inputs and 2M outputs (a known transform, see col. 5 line 62 to col. 6 line 6).

Regarding claim 12, *KOBER* discloses a method for modulating a biorthogonal frequency division multiplex/offset modulation ('BFDM/OM) biorthogonal multicarrier signal, characterized in that it implements a bank of synthesis filters (60a ...60n, see figure 4), the bank of filter having M parallel branches, M > 2, each fed by source data $(x_0(n) ... x_{M-1}(N)$, see figure 4) and each comprising an expander of order M and filtering means (see col. 5 lines 35-49), which is derived from a predetermined prototype modulation function (the analysis and synthesis filters can be implemented in any number of ways depending upon the type of signal to be filtered, see col. 2 lines 53-67).

KOBER fails to explicitly disclose that each branches of analysis filters comprises of a decimator of order M and the expender of order M. In another word, the number of parallel branches is double the order of the expander or the decimator.

In col. 5 lines 34-50, KOBER discloses that the downsamplers performing M-fold decimation, taking every Mth sample, and upsamplers performing sampling by filling

Art Unit: 2619

zeros between each sample. Therefore, the subject matter "a decimator of order M" or "the expender of order M" is the sampling rate of the downsampler or the upsampler.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to adjust the sampling rate of the downsampler 64a...64n or upsamplers 68a...8n in order to customized to user's or system's needs.

Regarding claim 4, KOBER discloses it implements a reverse Fourier transform (a know transform) fed by 2M branches, themselves fed by the transmitted signal, and each comprising a decimator of order M followed by a filtering module, and feeding 2M phase shift multipliers, delivering an estimation of the source data (a known transform, see col. 5 line 62 to col. 6 line 6).

Regarding claim 15, KOBER discloses a method for demodulating a biorthogonal frequency division multiplex/offset modulation (BFDM/OM) biorthogonal multicarrier signal characterized in that it implements a bank of analysis filters (44a...44n, see figure 4), the bank of synthesis filters having M parallel branches, each comprising a decimator of order M (64a..64n, see figure 4) and filtering means, and delivering representative data received from the source data (col. 3 lines 7-12), the synthesis filters being derived from a predetermined prototype modulation function (the analysis and synthesis filters can be implemented in any number of ways depending upon the type of signal to be filtered, see col. 2 lines 53-67).

Art Unit: 2619

KOBER fails to explicitly disclose that each of both branches of analysis and synthesis filters comprises of a decimator of order M and the expender of order M. In another word, the number of parallel branches is double the order of the expander or the decimator.

In col. 5 lines 34-50, KOBER discloses that the downsamplers performing M-fold decimation, taking every Mth sample, and upsamplers performing sampling by filling zeros between each sample. Therefore, the subject matter "a decimator of order M" or "the expender of order M" is the sampling rate of the downsampler or the upsampler.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to adjust the sampling rate of the downsampler 64a...64n or upsamplers 68a...8n in order to customized to user's or system's needs.

Regarding claim 6, KOBER it implements a reverse Fourier transform (a know transform) fed by 2M branches, themselves fed by the transmitted signal, and each comprising a decimator of order M followed by a filtering module, and feeding 2M phase shift multipliers, delivering an estimation of the source data (a known transform, see col. 5 line 62 to col. 6 line 6).

Regarding claims 8 and 13, KOBER fails to discloses the filters are belong to group comprising: one of transverse structure filters, ladder structure filters, or trellis structure filters. Examiner takes official notice that grouping filters one of transverse structure filters, ladder structure filters, or trellis structure filters is well known in the art

Art Unit: 2619

of filtering for providing efficient filtering means. Thus, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to group the filters in KOBER as one of transverse structure filters, ladder structure filters, or trellis structure filters since such grouping of filters is well known in the art of filtering for providing efficient filtering means.

Regarding claim 16, KOBER discloses an apparatus comprising: a modulating device for modulating a biorthogonal frequency division multiplex/offset modulation (BFDM/OM) biorthogonal multicarrier signal, characterized by a bank of synthesis filters having 2M parallel branches, M _>2, each fed by source data and each comprising an expander of order M and filtering means, the filtering means being derived from a predetermined prototype modulation function (the analysis and synthesis filters can be implemented in any number of ways depending upon the type of signal to be filtered, see col. 2 lines 53-67).

KOBER fails to explicitly disclose that each of both branches of analysis and synthesis filters comprises of a decimator of order M and the expender of order M. In another word, the number of parallel branches is double the order of the expander or the decimator.

In col. 5 lines 34-50, KOBER discloses that the downsamplers performing M-fold decimation, taking every Mth sample, and upsamplers performing sampling by filling zeros between each sample. Therefore, the subject matter "a decimator of order M" or "the expender of order M" is the sampling rate of the downsampler or the upsampler.

Art Unit: 2619

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to adjust the sampling rate of the downsampler 64a...64n or upsamplers 68a...8n in order to customized to user's or system's needs.

Regarding claim 17, KOBER it implements a reverse Fourier transform (a know transform) fed by 2M branches, themselves fed by the transmitted signal, and each comprising a decimator of order M followed by a filtering module, and feeding 2M phase shift multipliers, delivering an estimation of the source data (a known transform, see col. 5 line 62 to col. 6 line 6).

Regarding claim 18, KOBER discloses a demodulation device for demodulating a BFDM/OM biorthogonal multicarrier signal characterized by:

a bank of analysis filters (44a...44n, see figure 4), the bank of synthesis filters having M parallel branches, each comprising a decimator of order M (64a..64n, see figure 4, col. 3 lines 7-12),

the analysis filters being derived from a predetermined prototype modulation function (the analysis and synthesis filters can be implemented in any number of ways depending upon the type of signal to be filtered, see col. 2 lines 53-67).

Regarding claim 20, KOBER discloses a demodulation device for demodulation a biorthogonal frequency division multiplex/offset modulation (BFDM/OM) biorthogonal multicarrier signal comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/089,026
Art Unit: 2619

a bank of analysis filters (44a...44n, see figure 4), the bank of synthesis filters having M parallel branches, each comprising a decimator of order M (64a..64n, see figure 4, col. 3 lines 7-12),

the analysis filters being derived from a predetermined prototype modulation function (the analysis and synthesis filters can be implemented in any number of ways depending upon the type of signal to be filtered, see col. 2 lines 53-67).

KOBER fails to explicitly disclose that each branches of analysis filters comprises of a decimator of order M and the expender of order M. In another word, the number of parallel branches is double the order of the expander or the decimator.

In col. 5 lines 34-50, KOBER discloses that the downsamplers performing M-fold decimation, taking every Mth sample, and upsamplers performing sampling by filling zeros between each sample. Therefore, the subject matter "a decimator of order M" or "the expender of order M" is the sampling rate of the downsampler or the upsampler.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to adjust the sampling rate of the downsampler 64a...64n or upsamplers 68a...8n in order to customized to user's or system's needs.

Regarding claims 19, 21, KOBER it implements a reverse Fourier transform (a know transform) fed by 2M branches, themselves fed by the transmitted signal, and each comprising a decimator of order M followed by a filtering module, and feeding 2M phase shift multipliers, delivering an estimation of the source data (a known transform, see col. 5 line 62 to col. 6 line 6).

Art Unit: 2619

Claims 9, 11, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *KOBER* in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

Regarding claims 9, 11 and 14, KOBER fails to disclose the signal is an OFDM/OM signal.

AAPA discloses that it is well known in the art that OFDM/OM has the advantage of "operat[ing] without any guard interval and also provid[ing] a wider possibility of choice as regards the prototype function" (e.g., see applicant's specification at page 2, lines 8-18). Thus, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement an OFDM/OM signal in KOBER in order to provide the well known advantage of "operat[ing] without any guard interval and also provid[ing] a wider possibility of choice as regards the prototype function" (e.g., see applicant's specification at page 2, lines 8-18).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 7, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6, 8-9, 11-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/089,026 Page 11

Art Unit: 2619

Conclusion

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

The following address mail to be delivered by the United States Postal Service (USPS) only:

Mail Stop ____ Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Bob A. Phunkulh** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-3083.** The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tursday from 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. (first week of the bi-week) and Monday-Friday (for second week of the bi-week).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Jay Patel, can be reach on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/089,026 Page 12

Art Unit: 2619

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Bob A. Phunkulh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2619